

The Practice of Assessment in Music Education: Frameworks, Models, and Designs

Proceedings of the 2009 Florida Symposium on Assessment in Music Education

University of Florida

Timothy S. Brophy, PhD, Editor

Frank Abrahams Beatriz E. Aguilar Amy K. Anderson Edward P. Asmus Lyndel A. Bailey William I. Bauer Stephen Benham Ashley E. Budde Jennifer Bugos Richard Bunbury Jian-Jun Chen Patricia A. Chiodo Ming-Jen Chuang Richard Colwell Donald DeVito David C. Edmund David J. Elliott Elisabeth A. Etopio **Brad Foust**

Lynne Gackle Jason Gaines Beth Gibbs Dilek Göktürk Edwin E. Gordon Dee Hansen Lee Higgins Linda High Alice Ray Hixson Charles Hoffer Alena V. Holmes Peter Holsberg Daniel C. Johnson Anne-Katrin Jordan Cheng-Hsien Kao Paul Kimpton Jens Knigge John P. LaCognata Jeremy S. Lane

Chia-Ying Lin Linda T. Lovins Wendy McCallum Sarah H. McQuarrie O'Neal Mundle Angela Nierman Glenn E. Nierman Denese Odegaard Denise Ondishko Anne M. Osborne Douglas C. Orzolek Kelly A. Parkes Bruce Pearson Ann M. Porter William Renfroe Patricia E. Riley Russell L. Robinson Maria E. Runfola Joanne Rutkowski

Leslie Scheuler Sheila J. Scott Scott C. Shuler Marissa Silverman Benjamin N. Smith Tina M. Stallard Stephen Stalls Clark Saunders Keith P. Thompson Gianfranco Tornatore Ann Usher Charles J. Vaughan Jeffrey Ward Richard Wells Brian Wuttke Ching Ching Yap



GIA Publications, Inc. Chicago

Contents

Acknowledgments		
Part I Assessment in State and Nat	ional Contexts	
Many Voices, One Goal: Practices of Large-Scale Music Assessment	Keynote Address	Richard Colwell3
Assessment in the Arts: An Overview of States' Practices and Status	Special Guest Presentation	Linda T. Lovins23
Connecticut's Common Arts Assessment Initiative: Helping Teachers Improve Music Learning in a Data-Driven School Environment	Special Guest Presentation	Scott C. Shuler Richard Wells43
Investigation of the Multiple-Choice Formats for Assessing Music Notation Reading	Paper	Ching Ching Yap57
The Development of Competency Models: An IRT-based Approach to Competency Assessment in General Music Education	Paper	Anne-Katrin Jordan Jens Knigge67
The Caribbean Secondary (C-Sec) Music Syllabus: Formulation, Content and Assessment Processes	Panel Discussion	Lyndel A. Bailey Anne M. Osborne O'Neal Mundle87
Assessment "Over The Ocean" —Outside of the US	Panel Discussion	Alena V. Holmes Dilek Göktürk Beatriz E. Aguilar Jian-Jun Chen97
The Use of Portfolios in Hiring Music Teacher Process in Taiwan: A Matter of Perspectives by School Administrators and Music Teachers	Paper	Cheng-Hsien Kao Ming-Jen Chuang 103
Frameworks, Models, and Designs: Key Issues for Assessment in Music Education	Work Session Summary	Charles J. Vaughan David C. Edmund Alena V. Holmes John P. LaCognata 117

Part 2 Assessment in Schools and School Districts

Assuring the Validity of Teacher- Made Assessments	Paper	Edward P. Asmus 131		
Musical Assessment as an Impetus for Strategic, Intentional, and Sustainable Growth in the Instrumental Classroom	Paper	Stephen Benham 145		
Musical Skills Assessments Used in Inner-city Schools: The Education through Music Model	Paper	Leslie Scheuler 171		
Assessment in Elementary Music Education: One District's Approach to Authentic Assessment in the Elementary Classroom	Paper	Amy K. Anderson179		
Making Your Curriculum Transparent: Build It and They Will Come	Strategy	Paul Kimpton205		
Part 3 Assessment in Music Classrooms				
The Crucial Role of Aptitudes in Music Instruction	Keynote Address	Edwin E. Gordon 211		
Teacher-Made Tools for Assessing Music Listening	Special Guest Presentation	Keith P. Thompson 217		
Student Assessment in the High School Band Ensemble Class	Paper	John P. LaCognata227		
The Communicative Function of Behavioral Responses to Music: A Precursor to Assessment for Students with Autism	Paper	Donald DeVito239		
Expanding Higher-Order Thinking in the Music Classroom: Suggestions for Effective and Efficient Assessment	Paper	Dee Hansen Clark Saunders253		
Assessment 101: Basic Strategies Every Director Should Know for Teaching and Assessing	Paper	Charles Hoffer267		
The Singing Voice Development Measure: Assessing Children's Use of Singing Voice in the Elementary General Music Class	Paper	Joanne Rutkowski273		

Assessment Practices in the Choral Classroom	Panel Discussion	Russell L. Robinson Lynne Gackle William Renfroe Ann Usher		
Classroom Assessment Techniques for the Elementary General Music Teacher	Strategy	Brad Foust287		
Assessing Assessment: Examining Habits of Work and Learning and Mastery	Strategy	Jason Gaines Peter Holsberg Gianfranco Tornatore293		
Improving Performance through Authentic Assessment in Early Childhood Classes: Taking Out the GuessworkWorking Towards Data-Informed Decision Making in Kindergarten Music	Strategy	Denise Ondishko297		
Singing Self-Assessment Accuracy of Elementary School Children	Paper	Patricia E. Riley307		
Eye-hand Coordination as a Factor in the Success of Beginning Instrumentalists	Paper	Glenn E. Nierman Angela Nierman Bruce Pearson323		
Beyond the Classroom: Analyzing Student Work	Paper	Denese Odegaard335		
Assessing Student Learning in Music Performance Class: What Works, What Doesn't, and What We Can Do about It	Strategy	Kelly A. Parkes351		
Part 4 Assessment in Higher Education and Music Teacher Education				
Assessing the Concept of Assessment: Some Philosophical Perspectives	Keynote Address	David J. Elliott367		
Aims in the Age of Assessment: A Special Case	Paper	Marissa Silverman381		
Self-assessment Strategies for Developing Future Music Teachers	Strategy	Brian Wuttke391		
The Nature of Performance-Based Criterion Measures in Early Childhood Music Education Research, and Related Issues	Paper	Maria E. Runfola Elisabeth A. Etopio395		

In Search of Models for the Assessment of Thinking in Music Education	Paper	Douglas C. Orzolek 415
Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge, Music, and Assessment	Paper	William I. Bauer427
The Role of Technology in Assessment of Online Music Education Courses Taught at the Community College Level	Paper	Benjamin N. Smith437
Designing Assessment: The Selection and Construction of Instructional Objectives	Paper	Patricia A. Chiodo443
The Use of Criteria-Specific Performance Rubrics for Student Self-Assessment: A Case Study	Paper	Kelly A. Parkes455
The Problem with "No Problem": Music Teacher Assessment	Paper	Ann M. Porter461
Constructivist Perspectives of Instruction and Assessment within Instrumental Music Education	Paper	Sheila J. Scott Wendy McCallum475
Making the Grade: Preservice Teachers and Facilitarors for School and Community Music Programs	Paper	Lee Higgins Frank Abrahams487
Theory into Practice: Teaching Assessment Strategies to Pre-service Teachers through a Third-through-Fifth-Grade Vocal Music Laboratory	Paper	Jeffrey Ward Linda High499
Part 5 Poster Session Abstracts		
The Influence of Statewide Music Testing on Assessment Practices within Elementary Music Classrooms	Paper	Sarah H. McQuarrie 515
Challenges of Teaching and Assessing Recorder Playing in Elementary General Music Classes in Taichung City Taiwan	Poster Session	Chia-Ying Lin517
Galileo's Lute: Assessment through Authentic Performance Tasks in Secondary General Music	Poster Session	Richard Bunbury519

The Effect of Music Instruction on Preschool Students' Academic Skills: A Two-Year Study	Poster Session	Daniel C. Johnson523
A Study of the Effect of Co-equal Arts Integration on Student Achievement in Music and Writing with Fourth-and- Fifth-Grade Studen	Poster Session	Alice Ray Hixson525
An Analysis of Relationships Between Lesson Planning Training and Rehearsal Pacing of Undergraduate Instrumental Music Education Majors in Practice Teaching Settings	Poster Session—Abstract	Jeremy S. Lane529
The Musical N-Back (MNB): A Measure of Working Memory in the Musical Domain	Poster Session—Abstract	Jennifer Bugos Stephen Stalls531
Assessing Elementary Music Teachers' Perceptions of Effective Interactions		Beth Gibbs533
Recruitment and Retention of School-of-Music Students: Strategies Used by Faculty and Administrators of Higher Education		Ashley E. Budde535
A Qualitative Analysis of Participant Roles in an Applied Vocal Music Studio		Jeremy S. Lane Tina M. Stallard539

Assessing Assessment: Examining Habits of Work and Learning and Mastery

Jason Gaines
Peter Holsberg
Gianfranco Tornatore
Teachers College, Columbia University, New York, New York

Strategy Paper

Abstract

More often than not, instrumental music programs focus on assessing either content or character. Content refers to the mastery of musical skills, and character refers to the student's contribution within the classroom community and the personal growth of the student. These domains are rarely combined into a coherent assessment strategy that displays a more comprehensive and clearly defined portrait of student growth and achievement. What would an instrumental music program look like if it assessed both content and character in a manner that was transparent to all stakeholders? At the Washington Heights Expeditionary Learning School (WHEELS) in the urban New York City community of Washington Heights, a model designed to honor the union of content and character in a cohesive strategy has been implemented. The implementation of this strategy has yielded both promises and challenges. This presentation will illustrate the promises and challenges that arose from the implementation of this strategy, along with implications for further research.

Introduction

Far too often, instrumental music programs focus on assessing either content or character (Wendell, 2007). Content refers to the mastery of musical skills, and character refers to the student's contribution within the classroom community and the personal growth of the student. These domains are rarely combined into a coherent assessment strategy that displays a more comprehensive and clearly defined portrait of student growth and achievement. Few could argue that assessment that is devoid of either domain will result in deep insight into the growth and progress of the student within the classroom community. In doing so, one arguably neglects a valuable tool in assessment

Part 3: Assessment in Music Classrooms

(Abeles, Klotman & Hoffer, 1995). However, because of the difficulty in assessing these areas, music educators have not developed ways to measure both content and character in a manner that is systematic and clear to all stakeholders—teacher, student, administrators, faculty, and parents (Abeles et al., 1995; Hickey, 1997).

What would an instrumental music program look like if it assessed both content and character in a manner that was transparent to all stakeholders? At the Washington Heights Expeditionary Learning School (WHEELS) in the urban New York City community of Washington Heights, a model that attempts to honor the union of content and character in a cohesive strategy has been implemented. The implementation of this strategy has yielded both promises and challenges. This presentation will illustrate the promises and challenges that arose from the implementation of this strategy, along with implications for further research.

Background

Expeditionary Learning builds on the educational insights of Kurt Hahn, the founder of Outward Bound. Expeditionary Learning (EL) schools evolved from an Outward Bound urban education initiative that began in 1992 (Cousins, 2000). EL schools use, as their framework, five core practices and benchmarks. These core practices—learning expeditions, active pedagogy, school culture and character, leadership and school improvement, and structures—work together to promote a learning environment that fosters high student achievement through active learning, character growth, and teamwork.

Assessment is an integral component of the EL philosophy. Both process and product are factored into assessment strategies in the EL classroom. Products, the result of in-depth investigations, are a critical component of the assessment process. "Products are motivational, drive a need to know, and propel students to apply skills" (Expeditionary Learning Core Practice Bookmarks, 2003, p. 12) in order to understand content and concepts. EL refers to character objectives as Habits of Work and Learning (HOWL). In the model that WHEELS has adapted to fit within the requirements of the New York City Department of Education, which requires a single assessment grade per subject, content and character HOWL grades are combined at a 60/40 ratio respectively. This is important to note because the traditional EL model offers separate assessments in content and character.

WHEELS has grown from 180 to nearly 360 students since the school opened in September 2006. It was at this time that the entire faculty at WHEELS engaged in a discussion involving the idea of assessment. Founded on the research of Ken O'Connor (2000), WHEELS has adopted a school wide assessment policy that includes not only the mastery of content objectives, but also includes the HOWL grade. The HOWL grade represents what music teachers have used informally for years. To formalize this practice we, as the music department, designed rubrics and assessment guides to better assess student achievement. Using the EL benchmarks as a means for combating this problem, we found these benchmarks to be very helpful in codifying student expectations and its relationship to assessment.

Some may argue that this codification of expectations is too regimented and is not consistent with the philosophy of a democratic and constructivist classroom. However, in a democratic and constructivist classroom it is essential to establish expectations in order for students to flourish in

The Practice of Assessment in Music Education: Frameworks, Models, and Designs

a safe environment (Dewey, 1938). Working within the community in a creative way is part of the challenge to both the students and the teacher (Bruner, 1996). Content is negotiable and flexible to meet the desires and needs of the student in a community-based environment (Dewey, 1938); however, the expectations of student participation are somewhat less fluid. This will provide a sense of structure, ultimately facilitating the augmentation of the community learning experience.

Implications

Implementing this assessment strategy poses both promises and challenges. Promises include awareness on the part of the student in regard to academic progress, the habits of work and learning that lead to an understanding of the process and a more refined product, increased communication between the teacher and student (Duckworth, 1996) and through the reflective actions of students throughout the exploratory process of learning (Brookfield, 1987). This strategy allows the teacher to refine their practice by carefully examining, in detail, both the product and process that occurs in their classroom, as well as the amount of objectivity and subjectivity that is being used to assess student performance.

In addition to the promises of implementing such a strategy, challenges arose as well. This strategy is time consuming and requires careful planning. Furthermore, if the implemented structures are ill conceived, both the teacher and the students can experience frustration and fail to meet the stated objectives. As a result, the desired outcome utilizing multiple components to assess student growth and progress will become unfounded. It is clear that further research is needed to investigate fully the promises and challenges posed by assessment strategy.

Part 3: Assessment in Music Classrooms

References

- Abeles, H.F., Hoffer, C.R., Klotman, R.H. (1995). *Foundations of music education*. New York: Schirmer Books.
- Brookfield, S.D. (1987). Developing critical thinkers: Challenging Adults to explore alternative ways of thinking and acting. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Bruner, J. (1996). The culture of education. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Cousins, E. (2000). *Roots: From outward bound to expeditionary learning*. Dubuque, IA: Kendall Hunt Publishing Company.
- Dewey, J. (1938). Experience and education. New York: Touchstone Press.
- Duckworth, E. (1996). *The having of wonderful ideas and other essays on teaching and Learning*. New York: Teachers College Press.
- Expeditionary learning core practice bookmarks, (2003).
- Hickey, M. (1999). Assessment rubrics for music composition. *Music Educators Journal*, 85(4) 26–33.
- O'Connor, K. (2000). *How to grade for learning: Linking grades to standards*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
- Wendell, H. (2007). The new bloom's taxonomy: Implications for music education, *Arts Education Policy Review*, 4.